School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2022/23 s.y. School-based Grant - Programme Report

Na	me of School:	Our Lady	's College				
Sta	aff-in-charge: Ms. Cherry	Lee, Vice	Principal	Contact	Telephone No.:		2327 5860
			neads) benefitted under the Grant is		•	26	CSSA recipients, B71SFAS
		`	under school's discretionary quota).		(-	

B. Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.

*Name / Type of activity	Actual no. of participating eligible students #			Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)
	Α	В	С						
Pre-S1 Summer English Bridging Programme	5	7	13	100	$17^{th} - 19^{th},$ $22^{nd} - 23^{rd}$ Aug 2022	\$6,875	Comment from instructorsAttendance record	Synergy Education Provider Company Limited	
Leadership training programme for School Prefects and Big Sisters	0	5	5	100	22 nd Aug 2022 & 23 rd Jan 2023	\$500	Feedback from studentsComments from teachers	Jumpstart Training Consultation Limited	
Learning Activity on Picnic Day	27	72	20	100	19 th Jan 2023	\$2,380	 Feedback from students Comments from instructors and teachers 		
Leadership training programme for committee members	1	0	0	100	Whole school year	\$320	 Feedback from students Comments from instructors and teachers 		

Chinese Instrument Classes	0	1	2	100	Whole school year	\$10,880	Comments from instructors and teachers-in-charge		
Sports Training	1	3	4	100	Whole school year	\$1,749	Comments from instructors and teachers-in-charge		
Enrichment Courses	0	1	0	100	Whole school year	\$260	 Feedback from students Comments from instructors and teachers Attendance record 		
Spanish Class	0	0	6	100	Whole school year	\$2,429	Comments from instructors and teachers-in-charge	The Spanish Academy Limited	
Total no. of activities: 8									
@No. of man-times	34	89	50		Total Expanses	\$25,303			
**Total no. of man-times		173			Total Expenses	\$25,393			

Note:

^{*} Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

[@] Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above. ** Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

[#] Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA(A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

DI		In	nproved		No	Declining	Not Applicable
PI	lease put a "√" against the most appropriate box.	Significant	Moderate	Slight	Change		
Lear	ning Effectiveness						
a) St	tudents' motivation for learning	✓					
b) S1	tudents' study skills		✓				
c) St	tudents' academic achievement		✓				
d) St	tudents' learning experience outside classroom	✓					
e) Y	our overall view on students' learning effectiveness		✓				
Perso	onal and Social Development				_		
f) St	tudents' self-esteem		✓				
g) St	tudents' self-management skills		✓				
h) St	tudents' social skills	✓					
i) St	tudents' interpersonal skills	✓					
j) S1	tudents' cooperativeness with others	✓					
k) St	tudents' attitudes toward schooling		✓				
l) S1	tudents' outlook on life		✓				
· ·	our overall view on students' personal and social evelopment		✓				
Com	munity Involvement						
/	tudents' participation in extracurricular and voluntary ctivities		✓				
o) S1	tudents' sense of belonging		✓				
p) St	tudents' understanding on the community		✓				
q) Y	our overall view on students' community involvement		✓				

Comments on the project conducted D. Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project (You may tick more than one box) unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant); difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota; eligible students unwilling to join the programmes (Please specify:______); the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory; tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory; the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload; complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB; the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming; Others (Please specify): Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? E. Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)